

Cell-free DNA and Fragmentomics as Biomarkers of Rheumatoid Arthritis Disease

Romatoid Artrit Hastalığında Biyobelirteç Olarak Hücre Dışı DNA ve Fragmentomik Yaklaşımlar

© Hale Gök Dağdır, © Ayşegül Akbay

Yüksek İhtisas University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Medical Biochemistry, Ankara, Türkiye

Keywords: cfDNA, RA, systemic immune-inflammation index

Anahtar kelimeler: cfDNA, RA, sistemik immün enflamasyon indeksi

Dear Editor,

We read with great interest the article entitled “Can the Systemic Immune-Inflammation Index Reflect Disease Activity in Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis?” published in the Turkish Journal of Osteoporosis. The authors addressed a clinically relevant and timely question and provided valuable data supporting the potential utility of the systemic immune-inflammation index (SII) as an easily accessible biomarker for assessing disease activity in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) (1).

RA remains a paradigmatic example of a chronic systemic inflammatory disease in which accurate, dynamic, and biologically meaningful assessment of disease activity is central to patient management. In this regard, composite indices such as disease activity score-28, acute-phase reactants, and hematologic inflammation-derived markers, including SII—calculated from neutrophil, lymphocyte, and platelet counts—are widely used. The appeal of SII lies in its simplicity, low cost, and broad availability, making it particularly attractive in daily clinical settings. The present study reinforces the relevance of SII as part of this pragmatic assessment framework (2).

Building upon the authors’ important observations, we would like to draw attention to circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA) as a promising complementary biomarker that may further enrich the evaluation of disease activity in RA. Hematologic indices such as SII reflect systemic inflammatory responses and are influenced by multiple physiological and clinical factors, including infections, stress responses, medications, and comorbid conditions—factors

that are commonly encountered in RA populations. In this context, cfDNA offers an additional, molecular-level perspective by reflecting ongoing cell death and tissue turnover associated with inflammatory processes (3).

Beyond total cfDNA concentration, cfDNA fragmentomics—encompassing fragment size distribution, end motifs, nucleosomal patterns, and genomic origin—provides an additional layer of biologically informative data. Fragmentation profiles may reflect dominant cell death mechanisms such as apoptosis or NETosis, both of which are central to RA pathogenesis. Moreover, fragmentomic signatures may offer insights into the cellular and tissue sources of inflammation, information that cannot be derived from conventional blood count-based indices alone (4).

Importantly, cfDNA-based approaches may also support longitudinal and non-invasive monitoring with high temporal resolution. Changes in cfDNA levels or fragmentomic patterns could potentially precede clinical flares or alterations in conventional inflammatory markers, allowing earlier therapeutic adjustments. In this sense, cfDNA analysis aligns well with emerging precision medicine strategies and may be integrated with other molecular and clinical parameters to enhance individualized disease management (3,4).

We acknowledge that challenges remain before cfDNA and fragmentomics can be routinely implemented in rheumatology practice, including standardization of pre-analytical procedures, analytical pipelines, and reference ranges. Cost and technical

Corresponding Author/Sorumlu Yazar: Lec, Hale Gök Dağdır, MD, Yüksek İhtisas University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Medical Biochemistry, Ankara, Türkiye

E-mail: halegok.dagidir@yuksekihtisas.edu.tr **ORCID ID:** orcid.org/0000-0003-3997-4307

Received/Geliş Tarihi: 26.01.2026 **Accepted/Kabul Tarihi:** 06.03.2026 **Epub:** 10.03.2026

Cite this article as/Atf: Gök Dağdır H, Akbay A. Cell-free DNA and fragmentomics as biomarkers of rheumatoid arthritis disease. Turk J Osteoporos. [Epub Ahead of Print]



requirements currently exceed those of readily available indices such as SII. However, given the rapid technological advances and expanding clinical use of cfDNA in other fields, these limitations are likely to diminish over time.

In conclusion, the authors' study provides valuable evidence supporting the clinical relevance of SII in RA. We suggest that cfDNA profiling and cfDNA fragmentomics may serve as complementary, pathophysiology-oriented tools that build upon and extend the utility of inflammation-based indices such as SII. Future prospective studies comparing SII, cfDNA-based metrics, clinical scores, imaging findings, and treatment outcomes may help to further refine and optimize disease activity monitoring in RA.

Sincerely,

Footnotes

Authorship Contributions

Design: H.G.D., A.A., Analysis or Interpretation: H.G.D., A.A., Literature Search: H.G.D., A.A., Writing: H.G.D., A.A.

Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study received no financial support.

References

1. Mısırcı S, Alp A, Yılmaz B. Can the systemic immune inflammation index (SII) indicate disease activity in patients with rheumatoid arthritis? *Turk J Osteoporos.* 2025;31:130-7.
2. Li X, Leng L, Han Y, Zhang J, Shen J, An L, et al. Association between systemic immune-inflammation index and disease activity score 28 in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a cross-sectional study. *Sci Rep.* 2025;16:557.
3. Taha SI, Samaan SF, Hawash SS, El-Sehsah EM, Shamloul S, Elsheikh DHH, et al. Circulating cell-free DNA as a potential biomarker for prediction of disease activity and prognosis among Egyptian rheumatoid arthritis patients. *Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol.* 2025;39:3946320251315036.
4. Lehmann J, Giaglis S, Kyburz D, Daoudlarian D, Walker UA. Plasma mtDNA as a possible contributor to and biomarker of inflammation in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2024;26:97.